



EURASIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND PSYCHOLOGY

2026; II (I): 1-15

Published online 23.10.2026 (<https://eurasiancampus.edu.journals.lk/>)



AI-Powered Gaming and User Safety: Balancing Creativity, Engagement, and Child Protection in Digital Entertainment

Dr. Sukanta Sarkar

Department of Economics, Gambella University, Gambella, Ethiopia

Email address:

sukantaeco@gmail.com

To cite this article:

Sarkar, S. (2026). AI powered gaming and user safety: Balancing creativity, engagement, and child protection in digital entertainment. Eurasian Journal of Education and Psychology, 2(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.68283/ejep.v2.i1.a01>

DOI : 10.68283/ejep.v2.i1.a01

Received: 01 08, 2026; **Accepted:** 09 09, 2026; **Published:** 23 10, 2026

Abstract: This paper discussed how artificial intelligence can improve play and entertainment activities, whilst at the same time ensuring the safety of users, ethical issues and responsible use of technology. A research gap identified by the paper was how balancing creativity and user engagement (in play and entertainment activities) with children's privacy and psychological health can be addressed by AI-powered gaming. The paper is based on secondary information. The study found that when AI-powered gaming became more creative, more personal and more engaging for the user, it also raised risks for children's privacy and psychological health. The paper found that there was concern over the issues of too much screen time, algorithmic design, data privacy and protection, and digital content moderation. The study identified those AI-powered gaming needs to be designed with children at the centre, with stronger safety guarantees in place and effective parental supervision. Ethical issues include children's data privacy, algorithmic bias, manipulative design, gaming addiction, digital content moderation and digital well-being, while governments should enact effective regulations governing AI-powered gaming. This calls for age-appropriate design and control, strong privacy protection, transparent AI that is easy to turn off and clear, quality content for children and young people to access safely, creatively, and responsibly.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Entertainment, Gaming AI, Play and Immersive Technologies.

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing the world of video games, enabling personalized gaming experiences, dynamic game play, computer-controlled characters, and artistic content production. This brings more entertainment and player engagement and gives way to interactive and imaginative education. However, the increased use of AI in gaming raises a number of concerns: user safety must be taken into account, especially since children may face gaming conditions that are not conducive to their safety due to persuasive game designs, failure to comply with age-related content restrictions, excessive game hours, and other risks they may face in the online world. Moreover, there is a problem of data and how to collect it without harming people or violating their privacy (Cave and Dihal, 2019).

The main issue that needs to be addressed is the balancing act that gaming creators must perform between being creative, engaging the user, and being responsible for their safety and for the children. AI-based recommendation systems, tracking systems for behaviour, and individualised rewards may make the process of gaming more enjoyable, but they may also prolong gaming sessions and expose young people to hazardous technology. In spite of the fact that the development of technology is currently ongoing, the issues of age-specific content, security of children's data and ethics are still relevant. The fact that no continuous measures of child protection were developed and implemented in gaming contributes to the fact that creating safe digital space becomes problematic.

Previous research has consistently explored AI-supported gaming technologies, player participation, online security, and protection of minors as disjointed topics. As a result, a significant gap can be identified in understanding the way the stated dimensions interact, and how it is possible to ensure creativity and engagement at the same time without jeopardizing children's privacy, mental health, and online safety. Filling this gap implies an integrated analysis of opportunities opened by technology along with vulnerabilities of users, and ethical obligations and protection measures (Pedersen and et al., 2010).

This research is warranted by the growing trend of young people engaging in AI-driven gaming and the

apparent need for establishing responsible practices concerning digital entertainment. This study is based on secondary research regarding the interconnection between AI-based creativity, user engagement, and the protection of minors. It also deals with the ethical issues in the field, regulatory aspects, and practical safety measures. The outcome of this study is a balanced model encouraging innovations while protecting the rights, welfare, and safety of children when they play the games that utilize intelligence.

2. Literature Review

In today's modern world, artificial intelligence has become an essential part of game development. It is utilized in various applications, including adaptive game play, content generation, personalized gaming experiences, and intelligent non-player characters. As a result, artists and developers can take advantage of various tools and platforms to create amazing games. However, it raises concerns about a much-disputed algorithmic fairness, transparency, and accountability balance. Canca and et al. (2010) discussed the issue of responsible usage of AI in game development and the proper attention to ethical aspects of this industry. Unveiling the societal impact of artificial intelligence in game software focused on both advantages and disadvantages of using artificial intelligence in video games.

Sas and et al. (2023) analyzed privacy communications in children's free-to-play games and emphasized the need for developmentally appropriate communication of data practices. The findings showed that traditional privacy announcements may not help the younger audience understand how their data is gathered and utilized. Taking the conversation forward, Zararsiz (2025) studied privacy policies of free games that are present on Google Play Store. The research report's focus was on readability, child-oriented communication, and legal sufficiency of the privacy communications explored. The outcomes showed the necessity for more clear and straightforward privacy information for kids.

Kanavos and et al. (2024) made a contribution by presenting Protectbot, a framework that utilizes artificial intelligence to recognize behaviour that might be predatory in gaming chat systems. Their research has shown how machine-learning technologies can

assist in the recognition of damaging communication behaviour patterns and in taking timely measures concerning such behaviour. It provided insights into the significance of following responsible AI principles in the gaming sector, which applies large automated systems in a manner that might create ethical questions. Zhuk (2025) studied the ethical aspects of the use of artificial intelligence in online gaming environments considering content moderation, privacy, and protection of personal data, as well as inclusivity and the need for cooperation between people and AI systems. The analysis points to how an appropriate approach to governance can integrate technology and transparency in decision-making and accountability of people. Mougiakou and et al. (2025) investigated the data protection issues of AI-dominated educational games in relation to the General Data Protection Regulation. The study presents the difficulty of acquiring genuine consent when dealing with AI technologies that are continuously generating new data about people.

The key gap in the research is the insufficient implementation of AI creativity, user involvement, and considerations of children's privacy and psychological health within a framework for digital entertainment. Research efforts must be directed at how game designers can achieve innovative solutions and enjoyable experience while following age-compliant principles, implementing transparent data usage practices and appropriate human intervention and protection methods. The current study bridges the existing gap through a secondary analysis of relevant literature and policy papers.

3. Objective

The objective of the paper is:

1. To understand how AI can improve creativity, personalizing and encouraging engagement in playing games online.
2. To establish the major risks that are faced by players who use games that are based on AI technology as far as kids' safety is concerned.
3. To explore ethical issues regarding protecting data, the way algorithms function, and how AI can be used responsibly.
4. To give some recommendations regarding the implementation of all the measures required to ensure proper use of the mentioned technology.

4. Methodology

The research was conducted by secondary research relying on systematic review of relevant literature and policy documents related to AI-powered gaming, user safety, and child protection. Data were accessed from Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar. The search term was "artificial intelligence in gaming", "AI-powered entertainment", "user safety", "child protection", "data privacy", and "ethical AI" combining Boolean operators. Inclusion criteria were peer-reviewed papers in English and published by 2015-2026 assessing the use of AI-based systems in gaming creativity user engagement, data privacy, psychological health, and digital safety.

Duplicate records were excluded, and title/abstract evaluation and screening resulted in full text assessment. Relevant types of documents and those without adequate methodological information were excluded. The quality of selected papers was appraised using standards for research design transparency evidence, and research relevance. Using thematic analysis, the study summarized the research findings, identified the ethical issues, searched for research gaps, and proposed solutions for balancing gaming innovation and responsible AI use with child-protection.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Role of AI technologies in Engagement and Personalization

Artificial intelligence has made a huge impact on games and entertainment platforms. Due to fast advancements in technology, AI has been implemented in many entertainment platforms, making them more enjoyable and immersive for the users. The integration of AI has resulted in higher user engagement and personalization through several ways (McReynolds and et.al.,2017). Personalization of content through recommendations is one such impact of AI on entertainment platforms. User information like the type of content watched by users, the search history of the user, and even the time spent by the user in watching a particular content can be used by the platform to recommend relevant content for the user. In this manner, the process of the users trying to find the

suitable content is eliminated and leads to a better experience for the user (Davenport and et al.,2018).

Furthermore, AI technology improves user experience by providing gamers with adaptive gaming features and dynamic video contents. For example, in the current video games, there is an AI element that makes the difficulty level of the game depend on the gaming abilities of the user. In this scenario, the game will be easy for the unskilled gamer while it will be very difficult for a gamer with high gaming skills (Smith and Shade,2018). Additionally, the use of AI allows non-player characters to behave in a realistic manner while reacting to actions taken by the player in the game. In video games and other entertainment systems, the use of voice assistants, chatbots and virtual characters to communicate with the users in order to solve their questions and participate in particular games is quite common (Holloway and Green, 2016). There are various applications for which artificial intelligence can be used, and one of the important areas where it can be applied is that of content generation. This means that the software creates music, graphics, and storylines for entertainment purposes (Kuss and Griffiths,2012).

There is another dimension to the process of personalization through the process of emotion detection and analysis. The application would be able to discern the mindset of the user by observing his/her facial expressions, tone, or even messages, and so provide customized content according to the emotions of the user (Fantinato and et al.,2012). In case the application detects that the user is under stress, it would offer content that would reduce stress. If the user wishes to have fun, it would offer games for the user to indulge in. The program uses artificial intelligence technology to assess the user's performance and offer them personal rewards (Drachen and et al.,2012).

AI ensures that learning and improvements are made consistently in the user experience. The application of machine learning involves analyzing vast amounts of data in order to discover patterns. This would result in the enhancement of the provision of better services that have characteristics that meet the desires and needs of the consumers, making it easier for them to get what they require from the service providers (Sosa,2016).

Over time, there will be increased levels of precision and effectiveness. On the other hand, while AI makes it very easy for entertainment companies to personalize and engage their users, it does come with disadvantages (Jobin and et al.,2019).

Table 1: Merits and Demerits of Artificial Intelligence in Play and Entertainment

Aspect	Merits (Advantages)	Demerits (Disadvantages)
Global Reach	Expands access to entertainment across different regions	Digital divide and unequal access to AI technologies
Innovation	Enables new forms of interactive and immersive entertainment	Ethical concerns and misuse of AI
Cost Effectiveness	Reduces operational costs for companies	Job displacement in creative and entertainment industries
Content Moderation	Filters harmful and inappropriate content	Risk of bias, censorship, or incorrect filtering
Data Utilization	Improves services through user data analysis	Privacy and data security concerns
Accessibility	Inclusive platforms for people with disabilities	May not fully replace human support
Efficiency	Faster content creation and automation	Reduces human creativity and originality
Content Recommendation	Easy discovery of relevant movies, music, and games	Creates echo chambers and limits diverse exposure

Gaming	Realistic gameplay with intelligent NPCs	Risk of addiction and excessive gaming
User Experience	Personalized and engaging content	Overdependence on algorithms

Source: Compiled by the author based on Schijven and Kikkawa(2022).

Table 1 show that AI enhances games and entertainment using real-world experiences, personalization, and adaptation in gaming. However, there are several concerns about AI, including privacy concerns, addiction to video games, reduced human creativity, joblessness, and ethics in the digital entertainment industry (Picard,2000). The quick incorporation of Artificial Intelligence into entertainment activities has posed a unique challenge for researchers, whose main aim should be finding a balance between engagement improvement and ethical responsibilities (Lillard and et al.,2013). Nevertheless, the application of artificial intelligence technology within metaverse games and entertainment has further enhanced the game experience. However, this creates a significant challenge, especially regarding addiction and mental effects associated with frequent exposure to an immersive environment (Hunicke,2005).

5.2 Child-AI Interaction & Psychological Safety

Generative AI toys tend to undermine rather than support social-emotional development among children at an early stage in comparison with classic toys. This is because these toys cannot cope with the ability to pretend-play and provide emotionally immature reactions. While AI toys promote curiosity, they do not contribute to "developmental friction" or learning how to deal with social obstacles (McStay and Rosner, 2021). Moreover, they might misunderstand emotions and negatively affect the development of empathy as well as create opportunities for privacy breaches. It is a common occurrence for such toys to misunderstand children's emotions by confusing sadness and playfulness. An artificial intelligence that is "sycophantic" could be very bad for children learning how to deal with social disparities and disagreements.

The validation of the user through an AI program means that the child gets stuck in a bubble where no critical social skills will ever be developed (Floridi and et al.,2018).

Interaction of children with artificial intelligence through media and play is changing everything in the way children learn, communicate, and enjoy their playtime. With AI-based games, assistants, and adaptive learning systems, there can be a highly customized experience that adapts itself according to a child's actions and skills by modifying the level of challenge, content, and feedback accordingly (Fosch-Villaronga and et al.,2018). Apart from increased engagement and enhanced cognitive skills, playtime is also enriched with creative thinking. At the same time, the issue of psychological safety cannot be ignored. Children are still growing emotionally, critically, and socially, and they are therefore susceptible to the impact of AI media. One of the dangers here is that children will be excessively reliant on their AI companions and artificial worlds and will neglect socializing with people in the physical world (Ginsburg,2007).

The other issue related to the use of AI is exposure to the content and algorithms themselves. AI may inadvertently subject children to content that is harmful, discriminatory, or manipulative due to lack of regulation. Even though personalized algorithms have several benefits, they can also contribute to the creation of filter bubbles where children get to see content that reinforces certain behaviors or beliefs. Another issue is the inability of some children to tell whether they are communicating with an AI system or human beings (Martin-Ruiz and et. al.,2018). Children could develop unrealistic expectations about the relationship with AI-based systems. Another major concern in this area is privacy and data collection. The existence of a proper strategy for age-appropriate design, parental controls, transparency of algorithms, and ethics would allow achieving psychological safety. Children should be encouraged by parents and teachers to interact with AI technologies while avoiding any addiction to AI. In such a way, children will grow up in harmony with technological advancement (Mittelstadt and et.al.,2016).

5.3 Safety, Privacy & Ethical Guardrails

Privacy, safety, and ethical considerations need to be important aspects to make sure that the use of artificial intelligence in the entertainment industry results in something beneficial without inflicting any damage to the consumers. This is because the use of artificial intelligence is becoming increasingly common in the video games and streaming industry, and hence we need to consider the aspect of safety while discussing its use in entertainment. When it comes to safety issues, the use of artificial intelligence in entertainment needs to have methods to regulate and filter out any dangerous content (Melhart and et. Al., 2024).

In terms of privacy, the application of artificial intelligence services entails collecting large amounts of personal information regarding the user for customized services. Data minimization becomes a requirement in order to collect just enough data that is necessary for provision of that particular service. The consent requirement is another aspect where service providers are required to provide detailed information regarding what they will do using the data collected. Other aspects to consider include data security measures such as encryption and anonymization as well as secure storage of collected data. Issues of ethics concern aspects such as justice and transparency (Russell and et. al., 2019). There should be regular auditing and testing of software based on artificial intelligence in order to minimize forms of bias and discrimination. Aspects of safety are linked to issues of transparency, especially in relation to education on recommendation systems.. Generally, safety, privacy, and ethics in AI will help ensure that there is a great balance between entertainment and security. The role played by safety, privacy, and ethics in AI goes a long way in making sure that entertainment is fun while being secure always (Bickmore and Picard, 2005).

5.4 Potential Risks associated with AI

AI has greatly improved the play and entertainment applications but there are risks that come with this and which need to be taken into consideration. Some of the main risks that AI brings into the field of play and entertainment include addiction of the user, inappropriate content, and violation of privacy rights. The first risk that AI in entertainment raises relates to

the issue of addiction. These technologies are developed in such a way as to engage the user's mind and to give him or her constant supply of new content. The features such as auto-play and continuous scroll keep people on screens for many hours. On the one hand, this makes the process of using apps more interesting but on the other hand, this results in a serious problem with addiction of people, especially young users (Gorwa and et. Al., 2020).

The issue of exposure to inappropriate content is another major area of concern. The role of moderating the content lies with the AI algorithms themselves; however, at times, these algorithms fail to deliver their expected performance. There is always a possibility that the user, particularly if he or she is a child, comes across violent, offensive, and misleading content. This happens because the AI algorithm gives importance to engagement above all (Milano and et.al., 2020). In turn, it promotes the dissemination of content that seeks to achieve higher levels of engagement through the use of shocking content. The other situation where the AI algorithm will fail involves the interpretation of context. Data privacy and security present another problem facing AI technology (Bonicalzi and et.al., 2023). As AI works on gathering data from users, such as their preferences, browsing history, location, and in some cases, even biometrics, privacy is an important concern since there might be unauthorized access to that data, data breach, or misuse of that private information. The problem becomes more significant in cases where a company uses the collected data for targeted advertisements or even sells it to a third party without explicit user consent (Binns, 2018).

Further, there may arise an issue of bias and manipulation through the application of AI. Stereotyping or restrictions could take place, leading to what is termed as filter bubbles, whereby only content that aligns with their opinions is displayed before them. Artificial intelligence could make the platform capable of influencing user behavior into performing certain actions or purchasing something else. There is an ethical issue in terms of lack of autonomy and consent of the person using the service. Another problem in terms of risks related to the use of AI is related to the lack of accountability and transparency. Indeed, most AI systems function like black boxes,

which mean that it is not easy for users to know the rationale behind some choices (Denicolo and Pastorello, 2024).

5.5 Ethical issues in AI-based entertainment systems

The integration of artificial intelligence has led to evolution of modern gaming and entertainment technologies, but the technology's extensive adoption poses several ethical questions. The major ethical questions include algorithmic bias, user manipulation, and a lack of transparency in artificial intelligence technologies (Kietzmann and et.al.,2020). Some of the ethical concerns associated with the technology have both user- and platform-level effects. Algorithmic bias represents one such ethical problem associated with artificial intelligence technologies. AI requires huge amounts of data to identify user preferences and make suggestions based on them. However, when the algorithms are trained using data that exhibits some inherent bias in society and culture, the algorithms continue to reinforce them (King and Delfabbro,2018). The other significant issue associated with artificial intelligence is the manipulation of user actions. AI is usually geared towards maximizing user engagement, resulting in possible manipulation of users' actions through the system (Vaccari and Chadwick,2020). Through the process of gathering data from the user, AI can analyze the preferences of the user and provide personalized content to keep the user engaged for a longer duration of time. It will definitely benefit the user's experience at the website; but it does involve an ethical issue whereby the platform manipulates its user's behavior through psychology (Verma,2026). These include elements such as fear, curiosity, or seeking rewards. The problem of non-transparency adds to the already existing ethical considerations. Many AI algorithms function as "black boxes" – that is, there is no clear understanding of what goes on inside when an algorithm reaches certain decisions (Espindola,2025). On entertainment websites, a user may be unaware of the logic behind content suggestions or the way personal information is utilized by the site. It creates a significant power discrepancy between the platform provider and its consumers who have little understanding of how the algorithm works (Vorderer and Klimmt,2004).

Table 2: Ethical Issues in AI Entertainment Systems

Ethical Issue	Description
Accountability	It may not be clear when an AI system causes damage to someone's reputation or generates material that may be seen as infringing on intellectual property laws.
Data Privacy	A lot of information on user behavior can be collected by streaming services and content platforms for the sake of building a model.
Filter Bubbles & Algorithmic Bias	Personalized systems can limit access to varied content and further entrench personal biases while preventing artists from gaining new insights.
Lack of Authenticity/Bias	Artificially created content can sometimes be cold, unemotional, or predictable. Moreover, it can continue the biases that exist in the data used for training.
Worker Displacement	Voiceover work, script writing, and the creation of visual effects by AI will deprive human professionals of job opportunities.
Deepfakes & Consent	Voice or acting style manipulation by using artificial intelligence without obtaining permission from the performer, resulting in disinformation and inappropriate use of the information.
Intellectual Property & Authorship	AI is capable of generating new work by learning from existing material owned by humans, raising questions about intellectual property ownership of the generated work.

Source: Compiled by the author based on Thomas,2024

Table 2 shown that some of the ethical issues associated with AI entertainment are privacy invasions, algorithmic biases, deepfakes, addiction, copyright issues, reduction of human creativity, and lack of transparency, accountability and emotional genuineness in online interactions. The issue of transparency is connected with the problem of accountability since it is not clear who is to blame for negative outcomes of using artificial intelligence the developer, the platform, or the system itself when AI algorithms generate misleading content that causes problems (Wanner and et.al.,2022). In this case, it becomes difficult to ensure accountability and implement ethical norms, which leads to the deterioration of relationships between users and AI

systems because people tend not to use platforms that do not satisfy their expectations regarding ethics. The problem of ethics in AI in entertainment becomes extremely important considering the high level of its popularity (Zeng and et.al.,2018).

5.6 Regulatory frameworks and Industry guidelines for safe AI use in entertainment

AI applications in the field of entertainment have increased dramatically, involving technology in various aspects including gaming, online streaming services, social media, and virtual reality. It becomes necessary for there to be rules or regulations governing the use of AI technology within the field of entertainment (Grimmelikhuijsen,2023). This is due to the fact that there are specific matters that should be considered when utilizing technology. Internationally speaking, the EU Artificial Intelligence Act has been recognized as one of the significant legislations. According to this law, AI technologies are classified into four categories, namely: unacceptable, high risk, limited risk, and minimum risk (Felzmann and et.al.,2020). AI technologies deemed harmful by nature would have to meet strict standards to be allowed to operate. In the case of the entertainment industry, the AI act ensures transparency in AI technologies employed in the industry as well as prevents manipulation of any sort. Apart from the legal statutes, there are some guiding principles set by the Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI. This includes issues like openness, accountability, humanity, and non-discrimination. Companies must consider these elements when creating an AI algorithm in order to avoid any form of violation of individual rights. It is common practice for entertainment companies to follow such ethical principles voluntarily (Przybylski and et.al.,2017).

Sri Lanka's regulations on AI-driven gaming technology include the Personal Data Protection Act No. 9 of 2022 and the Online Safety Act No. 9 of 2024. The former ensures protection of user's personal data, while the latter regulates online speech and some types of child abuse (Bopagamage,2026). These regulations set up a general overview of digital safety, but specific safeguarding measures for AI gaming technology, age-based restrictions, design manipulation, and interaction with virtual characters

will need to be woven into these rules. Gaming developers should focus on a privacy-first approach, parental controls, transparent data collection and use, and complaint processes in protecting children (Tennakoon and et.al.,2018).

The Government of India aims to establish its own governance model for the country. The adopted model in India follows the principle-based approach. This approach is backed by the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (Ananny and Crawford, 2018). In addition to safety and inclusiveness, transparency and accountability are some of the other key features of the model. While there is no bill regarding AI regulation in India, several legislations have been passed to govern digital platforms. For instance, the Information Technology Act, 2000 has been developed in relation to the criminal justice system, data protection, and intermediaries (Kroll and et.al.,2017). All the conditions set forth in the act shall be relevant to the use of AI in the entertainment sector. Furthermore, according to the Information Technology Rules, any illegal or unethical content must be deleted from digital platforms (Togelius and et.al.,2011).

Industry guidelines are equally essential in safeguarding safety during the use of AI in entertainment. There are many corporations that apply self-regulation mechanisms such as rating the content, limiting the age of the audience, and implementing parental control in order to keep the vulnerable audience from harm, especially children (Cavaioni and et.al.,2026). AI can help in carrying out all these tasks through content moderation and interaction filters. Furthering this aspect of safe AI use are corporate governance policies that require companies to carry out audits and risk assessments, along with impact evaluations, on the AI technology used within an organization (Henriksen-Bulmer and et.al.,2024). Despite the existence of this framework, there are several issues that remain unaddressed. Diverse regulatory approaches adopted by different nations make these laws inconsistent when applied in connection with applications of global entertainment. The rapid advancements in technology also make it difficult for any legal model to be able to catch up with new AI technologies such as generative AI and virtual reality (Raji and et.al.,2020).

5.7 Benefits of immersive AI experiences with potential social and psychological risks

Immersive AI technologies, which involve the use of VR, AR, and intelligent recommendation algorithms, have turned the realm of entertainment into an interactive environment that caters to the specific needs of each consumer (Selbst and et.al.,2019). Although the benefits of such developments are obvious, there are a number of negative social and psychological consequences associated with these technologies that should be considered carefully. First of all, immersive AI technologies provide for improved engagement on the part of consumers (Lee and See,2004). In particular, intelligent AI algorithms can process data about individual consumer preferences, behaviour, and emotional state in order to tailor entertainment products to specific consumer needs. This personal approach to entertaining customers makes the consumption process much more enjoyable and allows for greater customer interaction. Thus, virtual characters will react accordingly to player actions (Yannakakis and Togelius,2018).

Furthermore, from the perspective of education, immersive AI can enable one to simulate real-world scenarios that are helpful in acquiring particular skills. An immersive AI also facilitates inclusivity and accessibility (Hoff and Bashir,2015). Those people who might have some kind of limitation to enter into real environments would find it easy to be active in virtual worlds. For example, people suffering from various disabilities can get involved in such activities where otherwise they would find it very tough, and those who are socially awkward can also interact through digital platforms (Shneiderman,2020).

Another issue associated with data privacy and surveillance is posed by immersive AI technologies. The functioning of immersive AI systems is based on a heavy dependence on the collection and analysis of data related to the behavior, preferences, and other characteristics of users, including their biometrics like face recognition and voice tones (Tokarchuk and et.al.,2024). Consequently, the high level of dependence on data makes it easy to abuse and misinterpret this information, creating a number of privacy issues (Brey,2012). It is essential to recognize that the immersive nature of the environment provided

by AI technologies may become dangerous for the users by offering them inappropriate material. Even with the help of AI moderation, it is possible to provide users with offensive or misleading data. Furthermore, the implementation of AI technology will mean that all the biases or stereotypes embedded in the data used to train the algorithm will be repeated, thus making the decisions taken by the machine biased (Zhu and et.al.,2021). Lastly, some psychological consequences, such as depression and low self-respect, may arise. The continuous comparison of one's self with virtual models or experiences that have been designed to be flawless could result in feelings of inadequacy (Taddeo and Floridi,2018).

5.8 Recommendations for Safe and Responsible Implementation of AI-Powered Gaming

The successful integration of AI-powered gaming depends on a comprehensive strategy that considers technological advancement, player engagement, ethical considerations, and child safety. Developers should focus on child-centred and safety-by-design principles during the development process. This includes implementing age-appropriate content filters, parental controls, and reliable age-assurance systems to limit children's access to violent, sexually explicit, discriminatory, or otherwise harmful material. These protective measures should be reviewed and updated regularly to respond to new risks (Schijven and Kikkawa,2022).

Second, gaming platforms should strengthen privacy protections. Data collected on players should be limited to what is necessary; consent obtained; data practices explained using plain language; and there should be secure options for correcting, deleting, or reviewing personal information. Additional protections should extend to the data of children, who should be protected from unnecessary profiling and targeted advertising. Third, AI gaming developers should enable transparency and accountability. Parents and players should be made aware of AI-generated content, personalised recommendations, automated moderation, or data-driven decisions in plain language; and independent audits should evaluate algorithmic bias, privacy risks, the output of harmful material, and security measures (Hodent and et.al.,2024).

Fourth, gaming companies should avoid manipulative engagement methods, like frequent notifications, exploitative reward systems, and misleading in-game purchases. Measures like spending limits, transparent pricing, parental approval for children's purchases and easy-to-use playtime controls are recommended. Fifth Governments educational institutions, parents, and technology companies should collaborate to set suitable standards, awareness campaigns, and reporting systems (Griffiths,2010). Developers should offer accessible ways to report harmful content, cyber-bullying, harassment, and inappropriate AI interactions. Ongoing risk assessment, user feedback, and regular safety reviews should inform improvements. These steps can help provide creative, engaging, inclusive, and developmentally suitable digital entertainment while safeguarding children's rights, privacy, and psychological health (Boyle and et.la.,2012).

Table 3: SWOT Analysis on AI in Play and Entertainment

Strengths (Internal)	Weaknesses (Internal)
Algorithmic Hyper-Personalization: Algorithms deliver personalized content suggestions, which boost user engagement by 20–30%.	Uncanny Valley Phenomenon: Artificial voices and figures with an odd sensation can disrupt the users' experience and affect their trust in the brands.
Operational Optimization: Automation in video editing, analysis of scripts and VFX rendering saves time and money.	Creative Homogenization: Excessive use of AI in creative processes can produce artificial works devoid of unique human creativity and ingenuity.
Dynamic Adaptive Gaming: The use of AI ensures that game difficulty and NPCs change to make each game experience unique.	High Entry Barriers: Building AI systems and employing qualified workers necessitates large amounts of money.
Opportunities (External)	Threats (External)
Predictive accuracy: Film studios can utilize AI software like ScriptBook and Cinelytic to ensure predictive box office	Risks Associated with Ethical/Regulatory Frameworks: One needs to consider issues related to copyright violations and the

success, reducing financial risks before the start of production.	misuse of deepfakes.
Scalability: With the help of automation in dubbing and translating technologies, content becomes globally accessible and affordable.	Risks of Privacy: More stringent privacy-related regulations globally (like GDPR and CCPA) can constitute risk factors if there is too much use of data by personalized AI applications.
Monetization opportunities: AI-generated virtual influencers and immersive experience opportunities present new avenues for earning profits.	Risk of Digital Inequalities: Indie game studios can have trouble competing with giants of technology, leading to monopolies.

Source: Compiled by the author based on (Skobeltsina et.al.,2021) (Shesterin and Shesterina, 2022)

6. Conclusion

Gaming and entertainment AI can enable rich, unique, and adaptive experiences for gaming and entertainment through adjustable difficulty, character interactions, narrations, and content recommendations. When game developers have access to high levels of data collection, this often means risk for user safety, recommendations that promote a 'gaming addiction', and content that is inappropriate. Personalised content and increased levels of screen time can bring addiction and health risks. Better controls, transparency, and measures to safeguard children and vulnerable groups, ensure less risk of inappropriate content, and safeguards against exploitation of personal data are essential. Developers should use responsible data collection and design practices, and lawmakers should seek to ensure a fair level of regulation so that everyone can safely enjoy gaming experiences.

Some measures have been taken by the governments for ensuring the safety and ethics associated with the use of AI in entertainment. These policies include the EU AI Act that covers aspects such as transparency, risk assessment, and accountability. Also, guidelines for the ethical use of AI and its implications for human beings have been created by the NITI Aayog of India. Moreover, the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 in India will help ensure privacy and security of data. Since there may be clashes between entertainment and safety, the implementation of some

measure related to transparency of how an algorithm impacts the production of content should be considered. It would involve the adoption of human-centric AI. Also, some restrictions in terms of usage could be applied, along with some suggestions about well-being to prevent people from developing addictions. This research was based on secondary sources only and did not involve primary data collection. It should also consider how children interact with these technologies using empirical research. Privacy enhancement, moderation of age-appropriate content, parental controls, transparency of AI governance by developers should be improved, and the long-term impact of AI-based gaming on children should be examined.

References

- Ananny, M., & Crawford, K. (2018). Seeing without knowing: Limitations of the transparency ideal and its application to algorithmic accountability. *New Media & Society*, 20(3), 973–974. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444816676645>
- Bickmore, T., & Picard, R. (2005). Establishing and maintaining long-term human-computer relationships. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 12(2), 293–394. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1067860.1067867>
- Binns, R. (2018). Fairness in machine learning. *Communications of the ACM*, 61(11), 58–60. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3245015>
- Bonicalzi, S., De Caro, M., & Giovanola, B. (2023). Artificial intelligence and autonomy: On the ethical dimension of recommender systems. *Topoi*, 42, 819–820. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-023-09922-5>
- Bopagamage, A. N. (2026). Safeguarding children's rights in the digital age: A comparative study of Sri Lanka, the EU, and the USA. *Sri Lanka Journal of Development Administration*, 8, 150–173. <https://doi.org/10.4038/sljda.v8i1.7167>
- Boyle, E. A., Connolly, T. M., Hainey, T., & Boyle, J. M. (2012). Engagement in digital entertainment games: A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(3), 771–772. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.11.020>
- Brey, P. (2012). Anticipating ethical issues in emerging information technology. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 14(4), 305–306. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-012-9293-y>
- Canca, C., Ihle, L. H., & Schoene, A. M. (2024). Why the gaming industry needs responsible AI. *Games: Research and Practice*, 2(2), Article 17, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3675803>
- Cavaioni, L., Fuller, A., Lo, M., Marques, T. G., Mariconti, E., & Pasculli, L. (2026). Situational crime prevention and child online safety: A comparative analysis of metaverse-ready games. *Journal of Cybersecurity*, 12(1), 2-3. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cybsec/tyag016>
- Cave, S., & Dihal, K. (2019). Hopes and fears for intelligent machines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(2), 74–75. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0020-9>
- Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(1), 108–109.
- Denicolò, V., & Pastorello, S. (2024). Artificial intelligence recommendations: Evidence, issues, and policy. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 40(4), 843–844. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grae048>
- Drachen, A., Sifa, R., Bauckhage, C., & Thureau, C. (2012). Guns, swords, and data. *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence and Games*, 163–164
- Espíndola, J. (2025). Against human content moderation: Algorithms without trauma. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*, 42(4), 1285–1286. <https://doi.org/10.1111/japp.70024>
- Fantinato, M., de Paula Albuquerque, O., de Albuquerque, A. P., Kelner, J., & Yankson, B. (2020). A literature survey on smart toy-related children's privacy risks. In *Proceedings of the 53rd Hawaii International*

- Conference on System Sciences (pp. 1479–1480).
<https://doi.org/10.24251/HICSS.2020.182>
- Felzmann, H., Fosch-Villaronga, E., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2020). Towards transparency by design for artificial intelligence. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 26, 3333–3334.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-020-00276-4>
- Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schafer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, 28, 689–690.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5>
- Fosch-Villaronga, E., van der Hof, S., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2023). Toy story or children story? Putting children and their rights at the forefront of the artificial intelligence revolution. *AI & SOCIETY*, 38, 133–134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01295-w>
- Ginsburg, K. R. (2007). The importance of play in promoting healthy child development and maintaining strong parent-child bonds. *Pediatrics*, 119(1), 182–183.
<https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2006-2697>
- Gorwa, R., Binns, R., & Katzenbach, C. (2020). Algorithmic content moderation: Technical and political challenges in the automation of platform governance. *Big Data & Society*, 7(1), 2–3.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951719897945>
- Griffiths, M. D. (2010). The role of context in online gaming excess and addiction. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 8(1), 119–120.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-009-9229-x> [67]
- Grimmelikhuijsen, S. (2023). Explaining why the computer says no: Algorithmic transparency affects the perceived trustworthiness of automated decision-making. *Public Administration Review*, 83(2), 241–242.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13483>
- Henriksen-Bulmer, J., Rosenorn-Lanng, E., & Corbin-Clarke, S. (2024). Using game-based learning to teach young people about privacy and online safety. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 32(10), 1–2.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2023.2265424>
- Hodent, C., Blumberg, F., & Deterding, S. (2024). Ethical games: Toward evidence-based guidance for safeguarding players and developers. *Games: Research and Practice*, 2(2), 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3685207>
- Hoff, K. A., & Bashir, M. (2015). Trust in automation: Integrating empirical evidence on factors that influence trust. *Human Factors*, 57(3), 407–408.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720814547570>
- Holloway, D., & Green, L. (2016). The Internet of toys. *Communication Research and Practice*, 2(4), 506–507.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/22041451.2016.1266124>
- Hunicke, R. (2005). The case for dynamic difficulty adjustment in games. In *Proceedings of the 2005 ACM SIGCHI International Conference on Advances in Computer Entertainment Technology* (pp. 429–430). Association for Computing Machinery.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/1178477.1178573>
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1, 389–390.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2>
- Kanavos, A., et al. (2024). Enhancing child safety in online gaming: The development and application of Protectbot, an AI-powered chatbot framework. *Information*, 15(4), Article 233.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/info15040233>
- Kietzmann, J., Lee, L. W., McCarthy, I. P., & Kietzmann, T. C. (2020). Deepfakes: Trick or treat? *Business Horizons*, 63(2), 135–136.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.11.006>
- King, D. L., & Delfabbro, P. H. (2018). Predatory monetization schemes in video games. *Addiction*, 113(11), 1967–1969. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14286>
- Kroll, J. A., Huey, J., Barocas, S., Felten, E. W., Reidenberg, J. R., Robinson, D. G., & Yu, H. (2017). Accountable algorithms. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 165(3), 633–634. https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/penn_law_review/vol165/iss3/3
- Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2012). Internet gaming addiction: A systematic review. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 10(2), 278–279.
- Lee, J. D., & See, K. A. (2004). Trust in automation: Designing for appropriate reliance. *Human Factors*, 46(1), 50–51. https://doi.org/10.1518/hfes.46.1.50_30392
- Lillard, A. S., Lerner, M. D., Hopkins, E. J., Dore, R. A., Smith, E. D., & Palmquist, C. M. (2013). The impact of pretend play on children's development: A review of the evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 139(1), 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029321>
- Martín-Ruíz, M. L., Fernández-Aller, C., Portillo, E., Malagón, J., & del Barrio, C. (2018). Developing a system for processing health data of children using digitalized toys: Ethical and privacy concerns for the Internet of Things paradigm. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 24, 1057–1058. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-017-9951-x>
- McReynolds, E., Hubbard, S., Lau, T., Saraf, A., Cakmak, M., & Roesner, F. (2017). Toys that listen: A study of parents, children, and Internet-connected toys. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 5197–5198). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025735>
- McStay, A., & Rosner, G. (2021). Emotional artificial intelligence in children's toys and devices: Ethics, governance and practical remedies. *Big Data & Society*, 8(1), 2–3. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951721994877>
- Melhart, D., Togelius, J., Mikkelsen, B., Holmgård, C., & Yannakakis, G. N. (2024). The ethics of AI in games. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 15(1), 79–83. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAFFC.2023.3276425>
- Milano, S., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2020). Recommender systems and their ethical challenges. *AI & SOCIETY*, 35, 957–958. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-00950-y> [32]
- Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2), 2–3. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679679>
- Mougiakou, E., Papadimitriou, S., Chrysafiadi, K., & Virvou, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence in educational games and consent under general data protection regulation. *Intelligent Decision Technologies*, 19(2), 670–671. <https://doi.org/10.1177/18724981251322884>
- Pedersen, C., Togelius, J., & Yannakakis, G. N. (2010). Modeling player experience. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games*, 2(1), 54–55. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCIAIG.2010.2043950>
- Picard, R. W. (2000). Affective computing in entertainment. *IBM Systems Journal*, 39(3–4), 705–706. <https://doi.org/10.1147/sj.393.0672>
- Przybylski, A. K., Weinstein, N., & Murayama, K. (2017). Internet gaming disorder: Investigating the clinical relevance. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 174(3), 230–231. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.16020224>
- Raji, I. D., Smart, A., White, R. N., Mitchell, M., Gebru, T., Hutchinson, B., & Barnes, P.

- (2020). Closing the AI accountability gap. *Proceedings of the AAAI/ACM Conference on AI Ethics and Society*, 33–34. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372873>
- Russell, N. C., Reidenberg, J. R., & Moon, S. (2019). Privacy in gaming. *Fordham Intellectual Property, Media & Entertainment Law Journal*, 29(1), 61–62. <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/iplj/vol29/iss1/4/>
- Sas, M., Denoo, M., & Mühlberg, J. T. (2023). Informing children about privacy: A review and assessment of age-appropriate information designs in kids-oriented F2P video games. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 7(CHI PLAY), Article 390, 425–426. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3611036>
- Schijven and Kikkawa (2022). *Is there any (artificial) intelligence in gaming? Simulation & Gaming*, 53(4), 315–318.
- Selbst, A. D., Boyd, D., Friedler, S. A., Venkatasubramanian, S., & Vertesi, J. (2019). Fairness and abstraction in sociotechnical systems. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* (pp. 59–60). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287598>
- Shesterin, N. O., & Shesterina, A. M. (2022). The process of interaction between human and artificial intelligence in the mediaspace of a computer game. *Neophilology*, 8(1), 128–136. <https://doi.org/10.20310/2587-6953-2022-8-1-128-136>
- Shneiderman, B. (2020). Human-centered artificial intelligence. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 36(6), 495–496. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2020.1741118>
- Skobeltsina, K. N., Kuznetsov, A. N., & Beshenkov, S. A. (2021). Russian schoolchildren vs. cyber threats: Research in the framework of modern childhood digitalization. *Psychological Science and Education*, 26(4), 43–47. <https://doi.org/10.17759/pse.2021260404>
- Smith, K. L., & Shade, L. R. (2018). Children's digital playgrounds as data assemblages: Problematics of privacy, personalization, and promotional culture. *Big Data & Society*, 5(2), 2–3. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951718805214>
- Sosa, A. V. (2016). Association of the type of toy used during play with the quantity and quality of parent-infant communication. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 170(2), 132–133. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.3753>
- Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2018). How AI can be a force for good. *Science*, 361(6404), 751–752. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat5991>
- Tennakoon, H., Saridakis, G., & Mohammed, A.-M. (2018). Child online safety and parental intervention: A study of Sri Lankan internet users. *Information Technology & People*, 31(3), 770–790. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-09-2016-0213>
- Thomas, S. (2024). AI and actors: Ethical challenges, cultural narratives and industry pathways in synthetic media performance. *Emerging Media*, 2(3), 526–529
- Togelius, J., Yannakakis, G. N., Stanley, K. O., & Browne, C. (2011). Search-based procedural content generation. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games*, 3(3), 172–173. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCIAIG.2011.2148116>
- Tokarchuk, Y. A., Salomatova, O. V., & Gavrilova, E. V. (2024). The use of board games and digital games preschoolers: Results of a survey of Russian parents. *Psychological-Educational Studies*, 16(1), 76–77. <https://doi.org/10.17759/psyedu.2024160105>
- Vaccari, C., & Chadwick, A. (2020). Deepfakes and disinformation: Exploring the impact of synthetic political video on deception, uncertainty, and trust in news. *Social Media*

- + Society, 6(1), 1-2.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120903408>
- Verma, A. (2026). Deepfakes and the crisis of digital authenticity: Ethical challenges in the age of synthetic media. *Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society*, 24(1), 59–60.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JICES-04-2025-0083>
- Vorderer, P., & Klimmt, C. (2004). Enjoyment: At the heart of media entertainment. *Communication Theory*, 14(4), 388–389.
[https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2885.2004.tb00321.x\[41\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2885.2004.tb00321.x[41])
- Wanner, J., Herm, L.-V., Heinrich, K., & Janiesch, C. (2022). The effect of transparency and trust on intelligent system acceptance: Evidence from a user-based study. *Electronic Markets*, 32, 2079–2080.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-022-00593-5>
- Yannakakis, G. N., & Togelius, J. (2018). *Artificial intelligence and games*. Springer.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-63519-4>
- Zararsız, Ö. F. (2025). Children's privacy in digital games: A study of free games on the Google Play Store. *TRT Akademi*, 10(25), 1122–1123. <https://doi.org/10.37679/trta.1668215>
- Zeng, Y., Lu, E., & Huangfu, C. (2018). Linking artificial intelligence principles. *AI Magazine*, 39(1), 59–60.
<https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v39i1.2733>
- Zhu, J., Villareale, J., Javvaji, N., Risi, S., Löwe, M., Weigelt, R., & Harteveld, C. (2021). Player-AI interaction: What neural network games reveal about AI as play. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment*, 17(1), 82–83.
- Zhuk, A. (2025). Ethical implications of AI in the Metaverse. *AI and Ethics*, 5, 5643–5644.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-024-00450-5>